

**219 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.14257 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 22, 2017**

Om Parkash

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vikas P. Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Girdhdar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.
None for respondent No.5.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

It comes out that before the lower court, the cancellation report has been filed, wherein the petitioner has filed the protest petition and case is fixed for preliminary evidence.

It being so, the present petition for the time being, becomes infructuous. However, if the case is remitted back for further investigation and the petitioner is not satisfied about the proper investigation, he can approach this Court for transfer of the investigation to some other agency.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

September 22, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No