

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. 133 of 2017

Date of Decision: May 30, 2017

Raju @ Raj Kumar Chaudhary

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Singh, AAG., Haryana.

Ms. Indu Bala, Advocate for
Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate
for the complainant.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Rajpal, of Police Station E.O.W. Central, Faridabad, present in Court to assist him, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and in view of the compromise between the petitioner and the complainant, his custodial interrogation is not required presently.

In view the above fact, the order dated 10.1.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 30, 2017
archana