

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-13273 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: May 26, 2017

Dilbag Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Chetan Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.V.P.S.Sidhu, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

None for respondent No.2.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in complaint No.34/2015 dated 07.04.2015, in which the petitioner has been summoned to face trial under Section 326, 323, 324, 325, 148 read with Section 149 IPC.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared and contested the petition. However, none appeared on behalf of respondent No.2, despite service.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that petitioner has been summoned in

the complaint case. He is not required for custodial interrogation. He is only to face the trial as it is a complaint case. The trial of the case will take long time. The petitioner has already surrendered before the trial court and has been released on interim bail. No useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioner to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find it a fit case, where the petitioner is entitled to benefit of grant of anticipatory bail. Therefore, the present petition is accepted and the order dated 21.04.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

May 26, 2017
Vgulati

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No