

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-1321 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: March 07, 2017

Didar Singh @ Dara Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab

Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the complainant.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State, as also complainant, point to call detail records annexed with the affidavit filed by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Derabassi, on the last date of hearing.

It has been pointed out that the petitioner is using telephone no.9463999116, with the main accused, Gurpreet Singh, using mobile no.9779277049, with them shown to have been in communication throughout the day on 12.11.2016, which is the date on which allegedly the aforesaid Gupreet Singh had committed a theft of Rs.15 lacs entrusted to him by the complainant as his employer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner however submits that the contention that the petitioner was in connivance with Gurpreet Singh with

the regard to the embezzlement of the money is wholly imaginary, whereas actually the petitioner has been in touch with Gurpreet Singh on a regular basis even prior to that date and therefore any conversation between them on 12.11.2016 would have no significance.

However, seeing the number of calls exchanged, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case on either side, which would obviously be gone into during the course of the investigation and the trial if it comes to that, I see no reason to continue with the concession of anticipatory bail.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed and the interim order dated 17.01.2017 is vacated.

March 07, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no