

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Date of decision:10.5.2017

Jyoti and another	... Petitioners
versus	
State of Haryana and others	... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: None for the petitioners
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioners had sought protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of respondents no.4 to 6, as they are stated to have married each other against the wishes of the said respondents.

While issuing notice in this petition on 19.4.2017, interim protection had been ordered by this Court, noticing therein the contention of learned counsel for the petitioners that they are not in any prohibited relationship to each other, nor has any of them been married earlier.

It had also been noticed that as per the educational qualification certificate of petitioner no.1 and the identity card of petitioner no.2, produced in Court, they were shown to be of legally marriageable age.

However, with no process fee having been filed, none is present on behalf of the respondents.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed, with a direction to respondents no.2 and 3, i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Ambala, and the SHO, Police Station Kotwali, Ambala City, to otherwise ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners continue to be protected within the four corners of law, but if they are found to be of less than marriageable age or

have been found to be in any prohibited relationship to each other, or found to have been married earlier, any proceedings initiated as per law would continue.

10.5.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No