

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM No.M-13121 of 2017

Date of decision:1.5.2017

Amrik Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Hitesh Kumar Sammi, Advocate,
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and seeing that the allegation against the petitioner is that he had entered into an agreement of sale with regard to a property that he had already sold, the contention of learned counsel to the effect that the agreement was actually written on blank paper by the complainant in view of the fact that he had loaned some money to the petitioner, cannot be accepted.

Consequently this petition is dismissed.

However, nothing stated herein above would be taken to be any comment on the merits of the case, which would be gone into by the investigating agency and the trial Court if it comes to that stage.

1.5.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No