

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13088 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.04.2017

Anoop Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Yogesh Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 123 dated 22.03.2017, registered under Sections 201, 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula.

The learned counsel for the petitioner refers to Annexure P-2 to contend that a compromise has been reached between the parties. The petitioner is in custody since 26.03.2017.

The learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 admits the factum of compromise.

Heard.

Considering the above, this Court feels that no purpose would be achieved in keeping the petitioner in further incarceration,

without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate/trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

25.04.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No