

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Date of decision:10.5.2017

Sharanjeet Kaur and another	... Petitioners
versus	
State of Punjab and others	... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: None for the petitioners
Mr.Rajesh Mehta, Addl.AG, Punjab
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioners had sought protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of respondents no.3 to 6, as they are stated to have married each other against the wishes of the said respondents.

While issuing notice in this petition on 19.4.2017, interim protection had been ordered by this Court, noticing therein the contention of learned counsel for the petitioners that they are not in any prohibited relationship to each other, nor has any of them been married earlier.

It had also been noticed that as per the identity cards produced in Court, the petitioners were shown to be of legally marriageable age.

Despite the aforesaid order, as per the report of the Registry, even the process fee has not been filed so as to serve the respondents.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Teja Singh, Police Station Baretta, District Mansa, who is present in Court to assist him, submits that the petitioners however approached the said Police Station.

As per the said official, the statements of the petitioners, as also respondents no.3 to 6, have been recorded in the Police Station, to the effect

that they are happily living together and that they no longer require any protection.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but with a direction to respondent no.2 to otherwise ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners continue to be protected, within the four corners of law.

10.5.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No