

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.1580 of 1990 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.05.2017**

Bhola Singh

... Appellant

Vs.

Municipal Committee, Sultanpur Lodhi

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate for the appellant.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

When this case came up for regular hearing on 07.04.2017, learned counsel for the appellant sought time to get instructions as to whether the present appeal survives or not. On his request, following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the appellant seeks short adjournment to get instructions whether after the death of the appellant, cause of action would survive or not and also to get instructions from his legal representatives, whether they are interested in pursuing this litigation any further or not.

On his request, adjourned to 08.05.2017.”

Learned counsel for the appellant, at the very outset, fairly states that despite making his best efforts, plaintiff-appellant did not pass on any instructions, because of which he is unable to assist this Court.

In view of the abovesaid fair statement made by learned counsel for the appellant, present appeal is dismissed as not pressed. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to get the present appeal revived within a period of three months, if necessity arises.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE**

08.05.2017

vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No