

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1298-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.01.2017

PINTU PARSHAD

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present : Mr. Sukhminder S. Sudan, Advocate and
Mr. Santosh K. Mishra, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.572 dated 05.10.2016, registered under Sections 22(c)/27-A of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act and Sections 18/19 of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, at Police Station Rattia, Distt. Fatehabad.

It is contended that the petitioner has been implicated in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, Manjit Singh. Except that there is nothing on record to suggest allegedly the contraband was purchased from the petitioner. The learned counsel states that the petitioner is a Porter as is made out from Annexure P-2 issued by

Police Station Kotwali. The petitioner is not involved in any other FIR and is in custody since 07.10.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel, has vehemently opposed the present petition, however, he fairly admitted that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR.

Heard.

Considering the facts that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR; he was implicated in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, Manjit Singh; and he is in custody since 07.10.2016, trial is likely to take a long time, therefore, no purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

23.01.2017

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No