

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13914-2016

Date of decision: August 22, 2017.

Ranjit Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Shri Mandeep Kumar Dhot, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Shri Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr. Dy. AG, Punjab.
Shri Sapan Dhir, Advocate for respondent No.2.
Shri A.S. Barnala, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties.

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.163 dated 28.8.2015, under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Mattaur, District SAS Nagar Mohali. This Court had granted ad-interim anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner on 26.4.2016 and directed the petitioner to join the investigation. Despite the direction that was made on 26.4.2016, Learned Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Mohan Singh, makes a statement that the petitioner has refused to join the investigation and is not giving his specimen signatures. In that view of the matter, no premium can be put on the act of the petitioner in misusing the concession of ad-interim anticipatory bail granted by this Court.

That apart, on merits, the allegation against the petitioner is that

the petitioner, who is the nephew of the complainant, had sold the properties

of his uncle Darshan Singh with the support of other close relatives. The petitioner has clearly indulged in the act of distrust qua his uncle. In that view of the matter, no petition for the grant of anticipatory bail can be entertained. This petition is hence dismissed.

August 22, 2017.
kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No