

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12964-2017

Date of decision : 01.05.2017

Amritpal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not apprehended at the spot nor was he specifically named in the FIR and it was only subsequently that he was arraigned as an accused on the statement of one Varun, to the effect that at the time of registration of the FIR he remembered that earlier in the day when he was getting liquor loaded in the vehicle in question, then various persons were roaming around, who thereafter in connivance with the petitioner and his co-accused had robbed the vehicle. He also points to the order of this Court dated 06.04.2017, passed in CRM-M-11254-2017, granting bail to a similarly placed co-accused.

It is further submitted that the offences punishable under Sections 365, 392, 395 IPC were added subsequently.

Keeping in view the aforesaid but without making any

comment on the merits of the case for or against the petitioner, I consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail, upon his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 01, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No