

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-12959 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.04.2017

Indraj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 22 dated 28.01.2017, registered under Sections 279, 336, 429, 120-B of IPC and Section 13 (1,2) 3/5/17 of Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015, at Police Station Ellenabad, District Sirsa.

It is contended that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot. He has been falsely implicated in the instant case. He further states that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR and he is in custody since 30.01.2017.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that co-accused has not so far been arrested.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the

record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot; the challan stands presented, however, the charges are yet to be framed; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

28.04.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No