

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-12945 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.04.2017

Aman

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vishal Deep Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 294 dated 17.08.2016, registered under Sections 307, 341, 323, 427, 148 read with Section 149 of IPC, at Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur.

It is contended that only simple injuries have been attributed to the petitioner. The learned counsel refers to X-ray report to contend that no bony injury has been found. Co-accused, Pargat Singh and Sunny Kumar @ Vadha have been granted the concession of regular bail by the trial Court. The petitioner is in custody since 03.11.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that co-accused have been admitted to bail by the trial Court; the petitioner is in custody since 03.11.2016; the challan stands presented; charges have been framed; out of total 14 PWs, none has been examined so far; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

28.04.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No