

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-1291 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.03.2017

Vikash @ Kasu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 25 dated 28.02.2016, registered under Sections 147, 148, 186, 188, 427, 436, 450 read with Section 149 of IPC and Sections 3 & 4 of the Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984, at Police Station Pillu Khera, District Jind.

It is contended that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case on the basis of statement made by the petitioner in other FIR wherein he has been admitted to bail by this Court. Except the statement of the petitioner made under duress, there is nothing on record against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 10.05.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that the petitioner is involved in other three FIRs.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 10.05.2016; challan stands presented; charges have been framed; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

14.03.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No