

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-12868 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: December 19, 2017

Anoop

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Jagdeep Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C., for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.34 dated 05.02.2017, under Sections 363, 366-A of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3 of SC/ST Act, registered at Police Station Nangal Chaudhary, District Mahendergarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case, as the petitioner and prosecutrix were having love affair. It is submitted that by an order dated 24.04.2017, the petitioner was released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the trial court/Duty Magistrate. It is further contended that after the petitioner being released on interim bail, he and the prosecutrix have solemnized their

marriage and living happily as husband and wife.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail in general, however, does not dispute the fact that the petitioner and the prosecutrix have solemnized the marriage.

In view of the fact that the petitioner was released on interim bail by this court by an order dated 24.04.2017 and thereafter, the petitioner and prosecutrix have solemnized their marriage, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted to the petitioner, is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

December 19, 2017
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No