

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12860 of 2017

Date of decision: July 31, 2017

Malvinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Affidavit of Raj Singh, HPS, DSP, HQ Kurukshetra filed
by learned State counsel, today in Court, is taken on record.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.169
dated 01.04.2017, under Sections 148, 149, 452, 323, 506, 379-B, 120-
B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police
Station Pehowa. This Court had made an order dated 19.04.2017
granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The prosecution case is that the petitioner who is the main
conspirator is hiring the persons for committing offences of snatching.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the co-
accused has been released on regular interim bail.

Learned counsel for the complainant has shown me a

judgment, in which, there is conviction recorded against the petitioner for offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468 IPC.

In that view of the matter and looking to the above record of the petitioner, this Court cannot allow the petitioner the grant of anticipatory bail.

Petition stands dismissed accordingly. Liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioner to apply for regular bail.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

July 31, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No