

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-12859 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.05.2017

Paramjit Singh @ Pamma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 48 dated 11.08.2016, registered under Section 379-B of IPC, at Police Station D-Division, Amritsar Rural, District Amritsar.

It is contended that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. The recovery of the alleged stolen mobile phone had been effected from co-accused Jagjit Singh. The petitioner is a young man of 30 years and he is not involved in any other FIR. The petitioner is in custody since 20.12.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that the petitioner is main accused. However, he fairly admits the factum that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that recovery was not effected from the petitioner; he is not involved in any other FIR; challan has been presented; out of total 15 PWs, none has been examined so far; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

18.05.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No