

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-17743-2017 in/and  
CRM-M-12829-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.05.2017

JASWINDER SINGH @ BHINDER ...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present : Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab

Mr. Ravi K. Mattoo, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

**CRM-17743-2017**

CRM is allowed and Annexures P-4 and P-5 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

**Main Case**

By way of petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.138, dated 25.12.2016, registered under Sections 341, 323, 506 and 148 read with Section 149 IPC and Section 307 IPC (added later on), at Police Station Julkan, Distt. Patiala.

Contentends that though the petitioner is named in the FIR,

however, no specific role has been assigned to him. Keeping in view the nature of injury, Section 307 IPC has been wrongly invoked against the petitioner. The version of the petitioner has not been recorded.

On the other hand, the learned counsel for the complainant submits that petitioner is duly named in the FIR and specific role has been attributed to him. However, being an influential person the petitioner has managed to get the FIR recorded by the police in a manner which facilitates his exoneration of the crime.

The learned State counsel states that the petitioner is named in the FIR and inadvertently the factum of injury caused by the petitioner to injured, Krishan could not be reflected. However, she is unable to explain as to why the same was done and whether any action has been initiated against the officer who recorded the FIR.

Heard.

Keeping in view the fact that injury attributed to the petitioner has been declared dangerous to life, this Court feels that no case to grant the relief sought is made out.

Dismissed.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be taken to be an opinion on merits of the case.

25.05.2017  
*ashok*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:  
Whether reportable:

Yes / No  
Yes / No