

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13743-2016

Date of Decision:- 17.02.2017

Rajesh Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

**Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

**Mr. Chirag Wadhwa, Advocate
for respondent No.2.**

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.742 dated 23.08.2015, under Sections 406, 498-A and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Karnal City, District Karnal.

Brief facts of the case are that petitioner has solemnized marriage with the complainant on 21.04.2014 as per Hindu Rites and Ceremonies. FIR was registered with the allegations that she was being harassed by the petitioner on account of bringing less dowry. After registration of the FIR, during the pendency of the trial, compromise was effected and first statement dated 07.10.2015 (Annexure P-2) was made in a petition under Section 13-B HMA. It was settled between the parties that petitioner will pay the sum of ₹3,70,000/- to complainant Geetanjali at the time of second motion statement

Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced on record the certified copy of the statement dated 15.02.2017, recorded in a petition

under Section 13-B of HMA, where as per joint statement, ₹3,70,000/- has been received by complainant Geetanjali through RTGS and nothing remains due against each other.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has also placed on record the certified copy of order dated 15.02.2017 whereby joint statement of the parties was recorded in pursuance of order of this Court dated 07.11.2016 and accordingly the petitioner has made the payment of litigation expenses of ₹30,000/- to the complainant.

Consequently, in view of the above-said facts and in view of the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and another**, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910; **Madan Mohan Abbot Vs. State of Punjab**, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429 and the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.742 dated 23.08.2015, under Sections 406, 498-A and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Karnal City, District Karnal and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, on the basis of compromise arrived between the parties.

The present petition stands disposed of.

February 17, 2017
naresh.k

(**RITU BAHRI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No