

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-12780 of 2017
Date of decision: 26.05.2017**

Poonam Kaushik and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Ms. Meenakshi Poswal, Advocate,
for Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. S.N. Pillania, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 05 dated 03.02.2016, under Sections 323/406/498-A/506 IPC, registered at Women Police Station, Panchkula (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Sonam-respondent No.2 (complainant) to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Ankur Kaushik-petitioner No.3 on 06.09.2015 at Arya Smaj Mandir, Panchkula, according to Hindu rites and rituals. Soon after the marriage, her in-laws i.e. petitioners started harassing and humiliating her

on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry. She was also given beatings by them. In this background, the FIR was registered.

After registration of the FIR, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties vide compromise deed dated (Annexure P-2).

In compliance with the order dated 19.04.2017 passed by this Court, parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. Report from the Additional chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula, has been received in this regard. As per report, Sonam-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 11.05.2017 to the effect that she has compromised the matter with accused-petitioners out of her own free will and without any pressure or coercion vide compromise deed dated 16.02.2017. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Joint statement of Poonam Kaushik, Rajesh Kaushik and Ankur Kaushik-petitioners was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Cr1.) 1052, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 05 dated 03.02.2016, under Sections 323/406/498-A/506 IPC, registered at Women Police Station, Panchkula, is

quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the

petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

26.05.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No