

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1277-2017

Date of Decision: 21.02.2017

Parveen Rani & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr.C.S.Bakshi, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr.Kartar Singh Malik-1, Advocate
for respondents No.5 to 9.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for issuance of directions to official respondents to ensure protection of life and liberty of the petitioners by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of the private respondents No.5 to 9 on the ground that the petitioners had entered into matrimony against the wishes of their elders.

After issuing notice of motion, learned State counsel on instructions from HC Surjeet Singh apprises the Court that Jai Kumar son of Om Parkash-respondent No.4, who is the father of petitioner No.1 i.e. girl in question has already expired. Furthermore, the statements of the mother of the girl, respondent No.5 as also of petitioner No.1 herself have been recorded and she states that she does not apprehend any threat to her life and liberty.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.5 to 9 makes a statement that the private respondents are not opposed to the marriage between the parties.

The circumstances noticed hereinabove perhaps explain the non appearance of the counsel for the petitioners.

No further directions are required to be passed.

Petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

21.02.2017

anju

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|----|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | No |