

**204-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12760-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.05.2017.

Balbir Singh and another	...	Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Haryana	...	Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. HPS Ishar, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438
the Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 88 dated
14.03.2017 registered under Sections 148, 323, 324, 341, 506, 120-B
read with Section 149 IPC at Police Station Pinjore, District
Panchkula.

On 27.04.2017 this Court had passed the following
order:-

“Learned counsel refers to Annexure P-6 i.e. MLR of Balbir Singh
to contend that the complainant being aggressor caused injuries to
the persons on the side of the petitioners. The injuries attributed to
the petitioners are simple in nature.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl.AG,
Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A
complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned
counsel, in the Court.

At this stage, Mr. Sanjeev Gupta, Advocate causes representation
on behalf of the complainant.

The petitioners are directed to join the investigation.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1. That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3. That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

Post again on 24.05.2017.

To be heard along with CRM-M-11536-2017. ”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 27.04.2017, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of above, the order dated 27.04.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioners to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

24.05.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No