

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Misc. No.M-12755 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.04.2017.

Satwinder Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Petitioners in person with Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate.

LISA GILL, J.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that due to an inadvertent mistake, the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar could not be impleaded as party. On oral request of learned counsel for the petitioners, the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar is impleaded as respondent No. 4 in this petition.

Amended memo of parties filed in Court today is taken on record subject to just exceptions.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 6 to 8 and other relatives because they have married each other on 16.04.2017 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both the petitioners are present in Court and on being asked submit that they have solemnized marriage between themselves out of their own free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 18.09.1996 and 20.02.1989, respectively. True copies of Aadhaar

Card/letter of both the petitioners have been appended with the petition as Annexures P-1 and P-2. A copy of the Marriage Certificate and the photographs of the marriage are also placed on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a representation was submitted by the petitioners before respondent No. 3- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, District Patiala as petitioner No. 1 was a resident of village Naraingarh, Tehsil Rajpura, District Patiala before her marriage. However, now they are residing at the village of petitioner No. 2 in District Yamuna Nagar. Therefore, they pray for protection of their life and liberty to be provided by respondent No. 4-Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar. The present petition be treated to be a representation in this behalf.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Haryana.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sanjay K. Saini, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4. A copy of the petition has been handed over to learned counsel for the State.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.4- The Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar is directed to treat this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioners and look into the matter and take appropriate action as may be required, keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil

or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

18.04.2017
PA

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No