

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM No.M-12723 of 2017
Date of decision:1.5.2017

Sunil Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Sarju Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner
Mr.Rajesh Mehta, Addl.AG, Punjab
Mr.J.S.Banwait, Advocate,
for the complainant
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has not joined investigation even on the second date running, even after he was given one opportunity to do so, not having complied with the earlier order dated 19.4.2017. Mr.Puri submits that it is for the reason that a similar FIR has been registered against him by another person, in which case the application for anticipatory bail had been dismissed.

However, Mr.Puri further submits that the matter has actually been compromised with the complainant in this case, which fact is not denied by the learned counsel for the complainant.

He further submits that the petitioner is in the process of entering into a compromise in the other case also.

Be that as it may, the petitioner, prima facie, having found to be

indulging in the same activity again, this petition cannot be allowed to stand, especially when he did not join investigation.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed.

The interim order dated 19.4.2017 stands vacated.

1.5.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No