

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-12685-2017
Date of decision: 27.07.2017**

Gitesh Narula

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Mahipal S. Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M. S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manoj Pundir, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 133 dated 01.12.2015, registered under Sections 354-A and 506 of the IPC, at Women Police Station, Patiala and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (**Annexure P-2**) entered into between the parties.

The above mentioned FIR was registered on the statement of complainant-Urvashi Goyal, wherein she alleged that she knew the petitioner for last three years through a social site, however, for last three months he started misbehaving and harassing the complainant. She further alleged that the petitioner threatened her to outrage her modesty in public. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and they have entered into a compromise.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from JMIC at Patiala, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one. It is also reported that no criminal case or PO proceeding is pending against either of the parties.

Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, and learned counsel for respondent No. 2-complainant admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) RCR*

(Cr.) 543, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 133 dated 01.12.2015, registered under Sections 354-A and 506 of the IPC, at Women Police Station, Patiala and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of.

July 27, 2017
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No