

239

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-1267 of 2017
Date of decision : 21.03.2017**

Pooja Rani and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGHPresent: Mr. Hardeep Singh Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

In response to notice issued, learned State counsel has filed in Court today a short reply by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Bilaspur (Yamuna Nagar), to the effect that the petitioners as also respondents No.4 to 7, have got recorded their statements that the petitioners no longer need any protection (as per the statements of the petitioners) and respondents No.4 to 7 (as per statements of these respondents) have no objection to the marriage of petitioners.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to, however, continue to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is duly protected, as per law.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE****March 21, 2017**

Ramandeep/Vinay

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No