

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-12600 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.05.2017**

Rajesh Devi

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Bedi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

Petitioner seeks concession of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.67, dated 17.03.2017 under Sections 323/325/506/452/34 IPC IPC, registered at Police Station Farrukhnagar, District Gurugram.

While issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court on 13.04.2017:

“Petitioner, who is a lady, aged about 45 years seeks concession of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.67 dated 17.03.2017 registered at P.S. Farrukhnagar, Dist. Gurugram, under Sections 323, 325, 506, 452, 34 of IPC.

Learned counsel submits that complainant-Kamlesh is none other than the sister-in-law of the present petitioner. Argument raised is that the offence under Section 325 IPC has been cited on account of the injury suffered by Munish i.e. son of the complainant and it was attributed to co-accused, namely, Lalit and Krishan. Further contended that no injury has been attributed to the present petitioner on the person of Munish. Yet another argument raised is that both the families are residing in

a joint household and as such the offence under Section 452 IPC would not be made out.

Notice of motion returnable for 04.05.2017.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed appear before Investigation Officer and to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and she shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel upon instructions from HC Narender Singh would apprise the Court that the petitioner has since joined investigation.

That apart, the observations contained in the notice of motion order have gone un rebutted.

Accordingly, prayer made in the instant petition is accepted. Order dated 13.04.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Disposed of.

04.05.2017

Harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | No |