

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-12515 OF 2017
DATE OF DECISION : 16th AUGUST, 2017

Hira Singh & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Balbir Singh Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. D.A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.20 dated 09.02.2017 registered under Sections 435, 506, 379 and 427 IPC read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Ghuman, District Gurdaspur.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Perusal of the facts in entirety shows that the petitioners have been in habit of causing damage to the property of the complainant. This appears to be second and third occasion when the petitioners have done so despite the decree of permanent injunction against the petitioners. Prima facie, the case is made out against the petitioners under the offences the FIR was registered. This Court would have extended the concession if it would have been once but then the

petitioners have been in habit of troubling the complainant, despite the decree in favour of the complainant.

In that view of the matter, there is prima facie case for the offences under which the FIR has been registered, is made out against the petitioners.

Hence the petition for anticipatory bail is dismissed.

The liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioner to apply for regular bail before the trial Court. The trial Court is directed to dispose of the same, in accordance with law, within ten days from its filing.

16th AUGUST, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No