

**212 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.125 of 2017

Date of Decision: 28.02.2017

KARNAIL SINGH

-PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB

-RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. J.S. Khiva, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deep Singh, A.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

On 09.01.2017, following order was passed:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends
that bare perusal of the FIR does not reveal
ingredients of offence under Section 306 IPC
inasmuch as that the alleged purchase by sons of
the petitioner from father of the complainant took
place in the year 2010, whereas death of Gurmail*

Singh took place in the year 2016.

Learned counsel further submits that even otherwise, CRM-M No.44763 of 2016 has been filed for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise in which notice of motion has been issued for 02.02.2017.

Notice of motion for 02.02.2017.

To be heard along with CRM-M No.44763 of 2016.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner, he shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions incorporated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from HC Bahadur Singh admitted the aforesaid position and stated that

the petitioner is no more required in further investigation of the case.

In view of aforesaid, order dated 09.01.2017 is made absolute.

28.02.2017
jjyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No