

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12499 of 2017

Date of decision: September 21, 2017

Bala @ Raveena

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Kuldeep V. Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mrs. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.109 dated 22.02.2017, under Section 21 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substance Act, 1985, registered at Police Station City Rohtak, District Rohtak, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 12.04.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official states that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 12.04.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

September 21, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No