

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRL. MISC. No.M-12397 OF 2015 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 28th APRIL, 2017

Neelam Rani & another

.... **Petitioners**

Versus

Tarun Bansal & another

.... **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Abhishek Chautala, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite registered letters issued to the petitioners there is no response given by them. Therefore, he is unable to make any statement.

It appears from the record that the impugned order, issuing accused-summons in a private complaint case, has not been challenged by filing revision before the Sessions Court.

In that view of the matter, the petition is disposed of reserving the liberty in favour of the petitioner if so advised to put to challenge the summoning order before the revisional Court.

Interim order dated 22.04.2015, staying the proceedings before the trial Court, is vacated.

Disposed of accordingly.

28th APRIL, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes No

Whether Reportable: Yes No