

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-1244 of 2017 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: May 16, 2017**

Avtar Singh

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA**

Present: Mr.Dinesh Nagar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr.Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Vikram Anand, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

**SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)**

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'Cr.P.C.') seeking quashing of FIR No.62 dated 29.3.2015 (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, registered for offences punishable under Sections 380, 420, 120-B Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') at Police Station Division No.8, Jalandhar on the basis of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

As per case of the prosecution, the petitioner in connivance with other accused have been stealing the goods from the godown of the complainant. The amount of the stolen goods was being deposited in the name of the petitioner.

Three petitioners earlier filed CRM-M-9364 of 2016 which was allowed and the FIR qua them was quashed vide order dated 16.12.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter has

as Annexure P-2.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 and 3 endorsed the submission of learned counsel for the petitioners and has no objection if the impugned FIR (Annexure P-1) is quashed.

Learned State counsel has also not disputed compromise (Annexure P-2).

In order to verify the veracity and genuineness of the settlement between the parties, they were directed to appear before the trial court and get their statements recorded. The trial court has sent its report dated 15.5.2017 stating therein that the compromise has been effected between the complainant and the accused which appears to be voluntary in nature and without any pressure or influence.

Keeping all the above facts in view, I am of the considered opinion that it is a fit case in which the impugned FIR should be quashed. Keeping the case pending will not serve the ends of justice. The quashing of the FIR will provide the parties to this petition an opportunity to live in an amicable, peaceful and harmonious atmosphere which is not only in the interest of the parties but also for their families and ultimately the society at large.

For the reasons as discussed above, the instant petition is allowed and the impugned FIR No.62 dated 29.3.2015 (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, qua petitioner, is quashed.

**May 16, 2017**

Paritosh Kumar

**(SURINDER GUPTA)**  
**JUDGE**

***Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No***  
***Whether Reportable: Yes/No***