

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12409-2017
Date of Decision :10.05.2017

AMRINDER SINGH @ GINNYPetitioner
Versus
STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Inder Pal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. Advocate General Punjab.

Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Harjinder Singh, submits that though an offence punishable under Section 326 IPC has been deleted from the FIR by the Police, however, as regards the present petitioner, he is stated to have been captured on CCTV camera pulling the hair of the complainant, Subeg Singh. Hence, he submits that an offence punishable under Section 295 IPC is made out against him.

Without making any comment on the merits of the contentions made by the learned State counsel, but with the petitioner stated to have been captured on CCTV camera as aforesaid, I see no reason to entertain this petition.

Consequently, it is dismissed.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 10, 2017
Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No