

**227 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-12372 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 24.04.2017.

Sunil

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Robin Singh Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 the Cr.P.C seeking regular bail in case FIR No.468 dated 28.08.2016 registered under Sections 307 and 120-B IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station City Sonapat, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the FIR, the brother of the petitioner had raised a loan of Rs.6 lakh from the complainant-injured. Some altercation took place when the demand for refund of the amount was made. He further states that the only role attributed to the petitioner is that petitioner facilitated talk between the complainant and co-accused Ashish. No injury is attributed to the petitioner though recovery has been shown to have been effected from him. The petitioner is in custody since 29.08.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that co-accused Ashish has not so far been arrested.

Heard.

Considering the fact that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner, the petitioner allegedly facilitated talk between the complainant and co-accused Ashish through his phone, he is in custody since 29.08.2016 and the complainant stands examined, so, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

24.04.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No