

**225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12366 of 2017

Date of decision: 02.06.2017

Sunil Kumar @ Anil Kumar & Anr.

... Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

* * *

Present : Mr. G. S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr. P. C., the petitioners seek regular bail in FIR No.66, dated 30.07.2014, registered under Sections 18/ 29/ 61/ 85 of NDPS Act at Police Station Tappa, District Barnala.

Counsel for the parties are ad-idem that co-accused Sandeep Kumar @ Deepu and Sandeep Kumar s/o Sandhu Ram who have been attributed identical role as the petitioner had earlier approached this Court through CRM-M-33853 of 2016-Sandeep Kumar Vs. State of Punjab and CRM-M-44775 of 2016-Sandeep Kumar Vs. The State of Punjab have been granted regular bail by this Court through orders dated 24.10.2016 and 15.02.2017 respectively.

In view of the above, on the principle of parity, I am of the considered opinion that the petitioners also deserve to be admitted to regular bail.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing observed herein above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this court on the merits of the case.

During the period that the sentence of the petitioners are suspended, if they are found indulging in any criminal/illegal activity, it would be open to the State to move an appropriate application before the competent Court/this Court for cancellation of the bail granted to the petitioners.

(Deepak Sibal)
Judge

June 02, 2017
rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No