

210

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.12359 of 2017

Date of Decision: 30.05.2017

DHANI RAM

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

On 18.04.2017, following order was passed by this
Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was granted interim bail by the trial Court on 17.03.2017 and 21.03.2017, but ultimately, the same was declined at a subsequent stage by observing that the petitioner has not facilitated the recovery of stolen property i.e. tyres of stolen tarollas.

Notice of motion for 30.05.2017.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 25.04.2017 and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the

conditions incorporated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that pursuant to the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined the investigation.

The aforesaid fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions from HC Rajbir Singh except to state that 10 tyres of tarollas have been recovered.

Be that as it may, interim order dated 18.04.2017 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

May 30, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No