

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.12342 of 2017
Date of Decision:17.04.2017**

MichalPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another ... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner was declared as proclaimed offender on 05.09.2006. Petitioner filed CRM-M No.9245 of 2014 in this Court, which was disposed of on 23.04.2014 by passing the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied in case directions are issued to the trial Court to decide the bail application expeditiously. He has submitted that other co-accused have been acquitted and the matter has been compromised.

So, in these circumstances, directions are issued to the trial Court to decide the bail application of the petitioner expeditiously preferably within three days.

Disposed of.”

Thereafter, instead of surrendering before the trial Court, petitioner filed a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C

before the Court of Sessions at Kapurthala. At one point of time, interim direction was issued, but ultimately, prayer for anticipatory bail was declined by the Sessions Judge, Kapurthala.

Now the petitioner seeks quashing of cross version on the basis of compromise. Since the order dated 23.04.2014 has not been complied with so far, therefore, no such indulgence can be granted at this stage. However, petitioner would be at liberty to surrender before the trial Court and seek regular bail in accordance with law. In case petition for grant of regular bail is filed, the same shall be decided expeditiously preferably within three days.

Disposed of accordingly.

17.04.2017
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No