

**CRM-M-12333-2017 and
CRM-M-12396-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 30.05.2017

1. CRM-M-12333-2017

Sonu @ Iqbal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-12396-2017

Sajid

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Pradeep Virk, Advocate,
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-12333-2017, filed by
petitioner-Sonu @ Iqbal and CRM-M-12396-2017, filed by petitioner-

**CRM-M-12333-2017 and
CRM-M-12396-2017**

Sajid, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.258 dated 18.07.2015, registered at Police Station Tauru, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 307, 452, 395, 397 and 506 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant has also appeared through his counsel. They contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that so many persons have been named in the present FIR. Earlier, many of the accused have been found innocent and challan was presented after second inquiry. Although, so many accused have been named in the FIR, but no specific act has been alleged qua petitioner-Sonu @ Iqbal as he is not named in the FIR.

However, petitioner-Sajid is named in the FIR and stated to have given only *danda* blows to Rahisan and Asif.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 08.05.2017 passed by this Court, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present

case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without

**CRM-M-12333-2017 and
CRM-M-12396-2017**

expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in both these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 08.05.2017, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

30.05.2017
parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No