

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12319 of 2017

Date of decision : 02.06.2017

Subhash

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Kumar, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH J. (ORAL)

Considering the fact that there was a doubt on the identity of the petitioner, with the complainant first having named Anand, brother of the petitioner, and subsequently the petitioner as one of the assailants of the deceased, and thereafter having testified that Subhash and Anand were the same person (Subhash @ Anand), though even as per the investigating officer they are two separate persons, and further, in the aforesaid situation the role attributed to the petitioner being that of a fist and a kick blow, with the deceased having died due to gun shot, I consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Gurugram.

02.06.2017

adhikari/rd

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*