

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-12287 of 2017(O&M)

Date of decision : 01.09.2017

Tarsem Lal and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and other

..... Respondent

AND

CRM-M-13608 of 2017 (O&M)

Harpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Staet of Punjab and other

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. G.S.Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent no.2

LISA GILL,J(Oral)

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-12287 of 2017 and
CRM-M-13608 of 2017.

None appears on behalf of respondent no.2 despite service.

Petitioners-Tarsem Lal and Komal Rani in CRM-M-12287 of 2017 are the brother-in-law and sister-in-law (*jeth* and *jethani*) of the complainant. Petitioner-Harpreet Singh in CRM-M-13608 of 2017 is the complainant's husband. All the petitioners seek the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 18 dated 21.03.2017, under Sections 498-A, 323, 34 IPC, Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur.

It is submitted that the FIR in question has been registered due to temperamental differences between the complainant and her husband. Marriage between the parties was solemnized in May, 2009. Two daughters are born out of this wedlock. Both of them are being looked after by the petitioner-Harpreet Singh. It is contended that the complainant has in-fact left the matrimonial home out of her own accord without any reasonable cause. Petitioner-Tarsem Lal and his wife-Komal Rani, it is submitted have been falsely implicated in this case merely because of their relationship with the complainant's husband. Petitioner-Harpreet Singh had expressed his readiness and willingness for an amicable settlement between the parties. He expressed his desire to live together with his wife i.e. respondent no.2. The complainant was impleaded as a party in these petitions. However, none has appeared on her behalf despite service. Therefore, these petitions be allowed.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Gurbachan Singh informs that all the petitioners have joined investigation. However, the complainant-respondent no.2 is not coming forward to identify the articles despite being called upon twice.

It is verified that none of the petitioners are involved in any other criminal case. There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioners are likely to abscond or that they are likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances noted above but without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, it is considered just and expedient to allow this petition. Consequently, interim orders dated 24.04.2017 in both the cases are made absolute.

Petitions are accordingly allowed.

01.09.2017

s.khan

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No