

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-13234 of 2016(O&M)

Date of decision : 18.09.2017

Parminder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate
for the complainant.

LISA GILL,J(Oral)

Petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR
No. 26 dated 27.12.2015, under Sections 406, 498-A IPC, registered at
Police Station Women, Jagraon, District Ludhiana (Rural).

It is informed that the matter has been amicably resolved
between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this
Court on 01.06.2017. Settlement agreement is attached with this file. It is
informed that petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act,
1955, has been filed and statements of the parties at first motion have
been recorded on 10.07.2017. Learned counsel for the petitioner further

submits that petition for quashing of FIR No. 26 dated 27.12.2015, shall be filed in the first week of October, 2017. Part of the settled amount has been handed over to the complainant at the time of recording of her statement at first motion in the petition filed under Hindu Marriage Act. Another installment of the said amount shall be handed over to her at the time of recording of statements of the parties before the learned trial Court in the matter regarding quashing of the above said FIR. The petitioner undertakes to comply with the terms and conditions of the settlement.

Learned counsel for respondent no.2 affirms and verifies the factual position as above. Respondent no.2, present in Court, states that she has no objection in case this petition is allowed subject to the petitioner adhering to the terms and conditions of the settlement.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Jaswinder Singh, affirms the aforementioned factual position. It is further verified that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that he is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances noted above but without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, it is considered just and expedient to allow this petition. Consequently, order dated 19.04.2016, is made absolute.

Liberty is afforded to respondent no.2 to move an appropriate application in this matter in case the terms and conditions of

the settlement between the parties are not adhered to by the petitioner.

18.09.2017
s.khan

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No