

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.12241 of 2017
Date of Decision: 17.04.2017**

Mahant Rameshwar DassPetitioner
Versus

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. S.P. Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

It appears that there is a contested litigation between the petitioner and respondent No.4 with regard to Mahantship of Thakur Dwara Anderla, Tapa after the death of Mahant Parmanand in the year 1999.

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect his life and personal liberty from being invaded by the private respondent. Since criminal and civil litigations are pending before different Courts, therefore, this Court is not in a position to comment anything upon merits or otherwise of the pending cases, but respondent No.2 can be obligated to look into the grievance of the petitioner in respect of his threat perception.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl, AG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of prayer involved, I deem it appropriate to decide the petition without calling upon the respondents to file formal reply.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide representation (Annexure P5) preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

17.04.2017
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No