

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

Crl. Misc. M 12219 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.05.2017

Narinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Mikhail Kad, AAG, Punjab

Mr. B S Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J.

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, 'Cr.P.C.'), the petitioner prays for quashing of FIR No.41 dated 03.04.2016 for offence punishable under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code (in short, 'IPC') registered with Women Police Station, District Ludhiana and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 12.08.2016 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Counsel for the petitioner states that dispute between the petitioner, mother-in-law of the complainant and complainant/respondent No. 2 has been settled by way of compromise dated 12.08.2016.

Vide order dated 18.04.2017, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court below to get their statements recorded with

regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Clas Ludhiana, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter.

Counsel for the State does not dispute genuineness of the compromise in view of report of the Court below.

Counsel for respondent No. 2 has conceded to the position.

A perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in that category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '*Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another*', 2012(4) **R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

In this view of the matter, the petition is allowed and FIR No.41 dated 03.04.2016 for offence punishable under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC registered with Women Police Station, District Ludhiana and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise stand quashed qua the petitioner.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

31.05.2017
mohan bimbra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
whether reportable : Yes/No