

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.12144 2017

Date of Decision: 30.05.2017

Rattan Singh @ Fauji

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. A.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

On 07.04.2017, following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the offence under Section 336 IPC is a bailable offence. The allegation is that the petitioner allegedly fired a shot from his licensed gun which did not hit the complainant. Use of licensed fire arm in terms of Section 27 of the Arms Act has necessary connotation in terms of Section 5 of the Arms Act.

Notice of motion for 29.05.2017.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 14.04.2017 and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions incorporated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. “

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has joined the investigation.

This fact has not been disputed by learned State

CRM-M No.12144 2017

counsel on instructions from ASI Amarjit Singh and states that petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of above, order dated 07.04.2017 is made absolute.

30.05.2017

prince

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Whether Reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No