

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1211-2017

Date of decision : 14.02.2017

Chandgi Ram @ Mintu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for some time, when this Court was not inclined to allow the bail application, does not press the petition, at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, the trial Court is directed to ensure that the trial is expedited and the concerned Deputy Commissioner of Police, under whom Police Station Sushant Lok, District Gurgaon falls, is directed to ensure that all official witnesses, as are police witnesses and are summoned before the trial Court duly appear before that Court as and when summoned, failing which the DCP would be required to furnish an explanation in appropriate proceedings as to why police officials are not appearing when summoned by that Court.

The learned trial Court would try and conclude the trial within four months from today.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 14, 2017.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No