

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 203

CRM-M-121-2017

Decided on : 22.02.2017

Manohar Lal

..... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Ashwani Antil, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana,

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr. P. C., the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 443, dated 17.11.2016, registered under Sections 406, 419, 420, 468, 470 and 471 IPC, at Police Station Kundli, District Sonapat.

Vide order dated 09.01.2017, while issuing notice of motion, this Court had ordered that in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer.

Seeking anticipatory bail, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present dispute is over an agreement to sell and thus, is a civil one; there is no other criminal case pending against him and that under the interim orders of this Court, he has joined investigation and fully cooperated with the police.

Learned State counsel, opposes the grant of bail on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner.

Whether civil or criminal liability is to be fastened upon the petitioner, is debatable. Even otherwise, he has joined investigation and fully cooperated with the police and according to learned State counsel, at this stage, is not needed by the investigating agency for any further questioning.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the order dated 09.01.2017 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions prescribed under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

22.02.2017

shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes / No

Whether reportable

:

Yes / No