

115

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.12083 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.04.2017

Mehnaj and another

.....Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

CRM No.12780 of 2017

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same
is allowed.

Accompanying affidavit is taken on record subject to all
just exceptions.

Main case

In this petition, petitioners have prayed for issuance of
necessary directions to the official respondents to protect their
lives and personal liberties from being invaded by the private
respondents. Petitioners claimed themselves to be major and
have contracted marriage on 03.04.2017 according to their own

sweet will, but against the wishes of their family members. Photographs of marriage (Annexure P4) and marriage certificate (Annexure P3) are sought to be pressed into service in order to project validity of marriage.

In the context of threat perception at the hands of private respondents, petitioners have prayed for necessary directions for safeguarding their personal civil and legal rights. In the aforesaid context, petitioners have allegedly moved representation dated 03.04.2017 (Annexure P5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Ghuman, Addl, AG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

At this juncture, this Court is not in a position to comment upon the validity of marriage and majority of the petitioners in terms of their respective ages, however, respondent No.2 can be asked to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioners in order to ascertain veracity of allegations made by the petitioners. Respondent No.2 would be at liberty to devise his/her own mechanism to ascertain the truth. He/she would also be at liberty to join the petitioners or any other person acquainted with facts in issue. In case

respondent No.2 finds that there is some truth in the allegations of the petitioners, then he/she shall proceed to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

The aforesaid order is being passed at this stage without meaning anything on the merits of the case. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any observation made by the Court in terms of pleadings at this stage. This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the party in accordance with law in case they are involved in some other case. It would be appreciated if the representation filed by the petitioners is decided within a period of one month from today keeping in view the threat perception shown by the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

April 25, 2017

prince.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No