

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12003 of 2015.

Decided on:-01.09.2017.

Pehlad.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. A.P.S. Dhillon, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of order dated 14.01.2014 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Hodal vide which the petitioner was summoned to face trial under Sections 323, 325, 341, 506 read with Section 34 IPC on the basis of an application moved by the prosecution under Section 319 Cr.P.C.

Challenge has also been made to the order dated 19.03.2015 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Palwal vide which the revision petition filed by the petitioner against the aforesaid order dated 14.01.2014 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Hodal, was dismissed.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that the present petition has become infructuous for the reason that the trial in the case has been concluded and the petitioner has been convicted in the case by learned trial Court.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.2, the present petition is dismissed as infructuous.

However, in case it is found that the trial has not been concluded by learned trial Court, the petitioner shall be at liberty to revive the present petition.

September 01, 2017
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No