

101+204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-12031 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 31, 2017

Gurpreet Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.K.S.Baidwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr.DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

CRM No.23740 of 2017

The application is allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Annexure P-7 is taken on record.

CRM No.M-12031 of 2017

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.06 dated 15.01.2017 under Sections 406 and 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared and contested the petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as

learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR has been registered on the written complaint against the present petitioner and Karamjit Singh and Jagmohan Singh. As per the allegations, the accused have committed fraud of ₹16,35,000/- for sending the complainant, his son and son-in-law to Canada. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that Jagmohan Singh and Karamjit Singh are the main accused. Rather, petitioner is also sufferer.

At this stage, there is nothing from which it can be stated that present petitioner is innocent. The petitioner is required for custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, I do not find it a fit case where petitioner is entitled to benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

July 31, 2017
Vgulati

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No